IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

ALEJANDRA SILVEYRA,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:17-cv-00169
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
ALLY FINANCIAL, INC,	§	
Defendant.	§	JURY DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, ALEJANDRA SILVEYRA files this Original Complaint against Defendant, ALLY FINANCIAL, INC. and would respectfully show as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Texas Debt Collection Act, (hereafter "TDCA"), TEX. FIN. CODE §392, and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 227 et seq. (hereafter the "TCPA").

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, ALEJANDRA SILVEYRA (hereafter "Plaintiff") is a Texas resident with her principal place of residence in Brownsville, Texas.
- 2. Defendant, ALLY FINANCIAL, INC. (hereafter "Defendant") is a foreign corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan.
- 3. Defendant may be served with summons by serving its registered agent for process at the following address:

CT Corporation System 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75201

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction and venue for purposes of this action are appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Federal Question Jurisdiction, as this action involves violations of the TCPA.
- 5. Subject matter jurisdiction, federal question jurisdiction, for purposes of this action, is appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which provides that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; this action involves violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 6. Venue is proper in this District because the Plaintiff resides in this District the phone calls were received in this District, and Defendant transacts business in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Cameron County, Texas and is a consumer as defined by TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.001(1).
- 8. Defendant is a foreign for-profit corporation registered under filing number 800696070 to do business in Texas.
 - 9. Defendant is a "person" subject to regulations under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 10. The conduct of Defendant, which gives rise to the cause of action herein alleged, occurred in this District, Cameron County, Texas, by the Defendant's placing of telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone in an attempt to collect a debt.
- Defendant, at all material times, was attempting to collect a debt relating to AccountNo. ending in –9941.
- 12. The alleged debt that is the subject matter of this complaint is a "consumer debt" as defined by the TDCA, Tex. Stat. §392.001(2) and the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).

- 13. Plaintiff revoked any prior express consent for Defendant to contact Plaintiff via cell phone or any other form of communication on August 24, 2016 through a facsimile transmission to Defendant's facsimile number 866-584-2047.
- 14. In addition to the request not to be contacted by Defendant, Plaintiff's facsimile transmission directed Defendant to contact Plaintiff's attorney and included the name of the law firm representing Plaintiff and the contact information for the law firm.
- 15. Plaintiff is the regular user and carrier of the cellular telephone number ending in -5377 and was the called party and recipient of Defendant's automatic telephone dialing system and/or artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 16. Defendant knowingly and/or willfully called Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Defendant had unequivocal notice from Plaintiff to cease any and all calls and after Plaintiff withdrew any prior consent or permission to be contacted on August 24, 2016.
- 17. Defendant knowingly and/or willfully called Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Defendant had unequivocal notice that Plaintiff was represented by an attorney and could readily ascertain the Firm's contact information.
- 18. Defendant knowingly and/or willfully harassed and abused Plaintiff by calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone up to three (3) times per day after Plaintiff revoked consent to be contacted on August 24, 2016.
- 19. Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 20. The following phone numbers, including, but not limited to, 866-443-3114 and 877-281-1209 are phone numbers of Defendant used to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

- 21. Defendant has, or should be in possession and/or control of call logs, account notes, autodialer reports and/or other records that detail the exact number of all calls made to Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendant placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone that included delays in time before the telephone call was transferred to a representative to speak.
- 23. Plaintiff did not speak with a representative during some of the phone calls placed by Defendant because there was no representative on the telephone call that spoke or attempted to communicate with Plaintiff after Plaintiff answered the telephone call.
- 24. Some of the representatives who called Plaintiff's cellular telephone sounded like an artificial or pre-recorded voice.
- 25. Defendant left messages on Plaintiff's cellular telephone that started with a delay in time before the representative joined the line to leave a message.
- 26. Some of the voicemail messages received by Plaintiff on her cellular telephone from Defendant sounded like an artificial or pre-recorded voice requesting a return call from Plaintiff.
- 27. Plaintiff's attorney did not fail to respond within a reasonable period of time to any communication from Defendant and did not consent to Defendant's direct communication with Plaintiff.
- 28. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff's cellular telephone continued despite Plaintiff expressly revoking her consent.
- 29. None of Defendant's telephone calls placed to Plaintiff were for "emergency purposes" as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 30. None of Defendant's telephone calls placed to Plaintiff were made with Plaintiff's "prior express consent" as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).

31. All conditions precedent to the filing of this lawsuit have been performed or have occurred.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF THE TDCA, TEX. FIN. CODE § 392

- 32. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations in paragraphs 1-31 as if stated fully herein.
- 33. Defendant violated the TEX FIN. CODE § 392.302(4) by causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously, or making repeated or continuous telephone calls, with the intent to harass a person at the called number.
- 34. Specifically, Defendant continued to make numerous telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 35. Defendant's acts, as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations in paragraphs 32-35 as if stated fully herein.
- 36. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).
- 37. Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1)(A)(iii) to make telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 38. Defendant independently violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) for each call that Defendant placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 39. The phone calls made by Defendant are considered willing and knowing violations of the TCPA, as Defendant is well aware of the TCPA and its prohibitions.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

40. Plaintiff demands a jury trial under the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

PRAYER

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, ALEJANDRA SILVEYRA, demands judgment against Defendant, ALLY FINANCIAL, INC. for the following relief:

- a. any actual damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the above allegations;
- b. statutory damages pursuant to TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.403(e);
- c. pursuant to TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.403(a)(1), injunctive relief of the above violations;
- a. in the case of a successful action sustaining the liability of Defendant, pursuant to the TEX FIN. CODE § 392.403(b), costs of the action, together with reasonable attorney's fees incurred by Plaintiff;
- b. statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) in the amount of \$500.00 for each of the independent violations occurring after August 24, 2016;
- c. an increase in the amount of the award to an amount equal to three times the amount available pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) where each of Defendant's independent violations were made willfully or knowingly; and
- d. any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: August 23, 2017. Respectfully submitted,

The Vethan Law Firm, PC

By: /s/ Charles M.R. Vethan

Charles M. R. Vethan Attorney-in-Charge Texas Bar No. 00791852

Southern District Bar No: 19566 Email: edocs@vwtexlaw.com

Of Counsel:

The Vethan Law Firm, PC 3501 Allen Parkway Houston, Texas 77019 Telephone: (713) 526-2222

Telecopier: (713) 526-2230

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF